





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| . pp. 10. mo. 110 | I FU DIO DI TE | EIROT MANGER BUILDINGS | LATTONNEY POCKET NO. | |
|---|----------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 09/839,638 | 04/20/2001 | Michael DiCuccio | IMMR-027/00US 2152 | |
| 22903 7 | 590 06/03/2003 | | | |
| COOLEY GODWARD LLP ATTN: PATENT GROUP 11951 FREEDOM DRIVE, SUITE 1700 ONE FREEDOM SQUARE- RESTON TOWN CENTER | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | SAADAT, CAMERON | |
| RESTON, VA | | N IOWN CENTER | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3713 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 06/03/2003 | Ŏ |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|---|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | • | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | 09/839,638 | DICUCCIO ET AL. | | | |
| | ome Adden dammary | Examiner Soudet | Art Unit | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication app | Cameron Saadat | | | | |
| Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 A | Anril 2001 | | | | |
| 2a)□ | , | is action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3)□ | ,— | | resecution as to the merits is | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| | on of Claims | | | | | |
| , | Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| • | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| • | Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| • |) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) 1-15 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 2) Notic | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _ | 5) Notice of Informal | y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| C Datast and T | 1.10% | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/839,638

Art Unit: 3713

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121
 - I. Claims 1-6 are drawn to a surgical simulator, classified in (434/262).
 - II. Claims 7-9 are drawn to a plurality of polygons combined to form a computerized model of a heart, classified in (345/418).
 - III. Claims 10-15 are drawn to a method of designing a medical instrument by creating a computer model of a surgical instrument, classified in (703/1).

The inventions are distinct from each other because Inventions I-III are prima facie independent and distinct inventions due to their recitations of distinct and specific structures. Invention I is directed to a surgical simulator. Invention II is directed to displaying a plurality of polygons combined to form a computerized model of a heart. Invention III is directed to a method of designing a medical instrument by creating a computer model of a surgical instrument. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, and has acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cameron Saadat whose telephone number is 703-305-5490. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Valencia Martin Wallace can be reached on 703-308-4119. The fax phone numbers

Art Unit: 3713

Page 3

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

May 29, 2003

oe H. Cheng imary Examiner